

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/940,545	08/29/2001	Lloyd Wolfinbarger JR.	58772.000004	5273	
75	90 06/18/2003				
Susanne M. Hopkins		EXAMINER			
LifeNet 5809 Ward Cou	rt		COMSTOCK	COMSTOCK, DAVID C	
Virginia Beach, VA 23455			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3732		
		·	DATE MAILED: 06/18/2003	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u>/Y</u>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
*	09/940,545	WOLFINBARGER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David C. Comstock	3732	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address -	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meamed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of mod will apply and will expire SIX (6) N tatute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	29 August 2001 .	·	
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und	owance except for formal r		
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>33 and 34</u> is/are pending in the a	•		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.	•	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>33 and 34</u> is/are rejected.			•
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	nd/or election requirement.	•	
Application Papers	. •		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a			
Applicant may not request that any objection to	- · ·	•	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		I disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in	, ,	-	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.		•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.0	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		•	
1. Certified copies of the priority docum			
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in	Application No	
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the paper application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for a 	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application	n).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	• • •		•
Attachment(s)			•
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper Notice	5) Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
S. Patent and Trademark Office TO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office	e Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 5	· · · · ·

DETAILED ACTION

Interference

Interference has not been declared in this application since the claims therein have not been found to be allowable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 33 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Prewett et al. (5,298,254) in view of Lyle (5,061,286).

Prewett et al. disclose a forming a demineralized bone implant containing a biocompatible strength-conserving agent, i.e., a plasticizer. The implant is packaged under sterile conditions for subsequent use. (See col. 1, lines 40-41.) Prewett et al. do not disclose lyophilization of the plasticized bone implant. Lyle discloses an osteoprosthetic implant comprising dimineralized bone powder which is freeze-dried (lyophilized) to provide advantageous sterile conditions and facilitate storage of the implant for subsequent use (see col. 3, lines 36-42). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to lyophilize the plasticized bone implant of Prewett et al., in view of Lyle, in order to provide advantageous sterile conditions and facilitate storage of the implant for subsequent use.

Application/Control Number: 09/940,545

Art Unit: 3732

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David C. Comstock whose telephone number is (703) 308-8514.

D.C. Comstock June 13, 2003

DIRECTOR TC 3700